UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,592	07/28/2006	Tomomi Meguro	81844.0051	2418
26021 HOGAN & HA	7590 06/19/200 RTSON L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			TALBOT, BRIAN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ctkeyner@hhlaw.com LAUSPTO@hhlaw.com lbrivero@hhlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/587,592	MEGURO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian K. Talbot	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	2 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/06 and 10/8/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/587,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

1. Claims 1-7 remain in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yamada et

al. (5,545,443).

Yamada et al. (5,545,443) teaches a method of transparent conductive zinc oxide film by

incorporating a boron or aluminum containing material. Purified water is also introduced to

form the oxide (abstract). The boron compound is diborane and can be diluted with hydrogen

(col. 4, lines 39-50). The zinc compound is dimethyl zinc (col. 4, lines 18-22). Argon is the

carrier gas.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 3

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/021690 translation provided by Kroll et al. (7,390,731) or Vijayakumar et al. (4,851,149) in combination with Yamada et al. (5,545,443).

WO 03/021690 – translation provided by Kroll et al. (7,390,731) teaches a method of depositing an oxide layer on a substrate and a photovoltaic cell using said substrate. The photovoltaic cell includes a transparent substrate, a transparent conductive oxide, amorphous silicon, crystalline silicon and a rear contact electrode film (col. 2, lines 30-45 and Fig. 1). The

conductive oxide film and/or electrode film can be zinc oxide doped with aluminum or boron. The zinc oxide can be provided by an organozinc such as diethyl zinc. An oxidizer is added such as water and the boron is added with diborane (col. 2, line 60 – col. 3, line 25).

WO 03/021690 – translation provided by Kroll et al. (7,390,731) fails to teach the use of a diluting gas such as hydrogen.

Vijayakumar et al. (4,851,149) teaches a chemical vapor deposition of zinc oxide films and products. A zinc oxide is formed by using an organozinc, water and a inert gas. The zinc oxide can be doped with a Group III element (abstract). The organozinc is a dimethyl zinc (col. 2, lines 33-37). The inert gas includes argon or helium or other inert gases such as nitogen (col. 3, lines 48-52). The Group III element can be aluminum or boron by introducing trimethyl aluminum or diborane (col. 3, lines 62-66).

Vijayakumar et al. (4,851,149) fails to teach hydrogen as the inert gas.

Yamada et al. (5,545,443) teaches using hydrogen gas as a diluting gas in the formation of zinc oxide film doped with boron and aluminum (see above).

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have modified either WO 03/021690 – translation provided by Kroll et al. (7,390,731) or Vijayakumar et al. (4,851,149) with an inert diluting gas of hydrogen as evidenced by Yamada et al. (5,545,443) with the expectation of achieving similar success.

7. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (5,545,443) in combination with Nishida (5,002,796) or vice versa.

Art Unit: 1792

Features detailed above concerning the teachings of Yamada et al. (5,545,443) are incorporated here.

Yamada et al. (5,545,443) fails to teach the photoelectric structure claimed with the transparent substrate electrode, amorphous silicon, crystalline silicon and another electrode.

Nishida (5,002,796) teaches this structure regarding a zinc oxide film using an oxygen compound and a alkyl zinc for forming photovoltaic devices (abstract). The structure is depicted in Fig. 3 and detailed n Example 4.

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have modified Yamada et al. (5,545,443) process to form the structure as depicted in Nishida (5,002,796) or to have formed the zinc oxide electrode of Nishida (5,002,796) by the method of Yamada et al. (5,545,443) with the expectation of achieving similar success.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,592 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian K Talbot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

bkt